

## RE: Meeting at City Hall - minutes

Eugene Zakhareyev

Tue 9/16/2014, 2:31 PM

To: Steve Fischer <sfischer@redmond.gov>

Cc: Thara Johnson <tmjohnson@redmond.gov>; meg.muran@live.com <meg.muran@live.com>;  
chrishackmann@gmail.com <chrishackmann@gmail.com>

<cc'ing Meg & Chris>

Steve,

Thank you. Your amendments are inline with what I understood, perhaps put more succinctly.

Yours truly,  
Eugene

---

From: SFISCHER@REDMOND.GOV

To: eugenez@outlook.com

CC: SFISCHER@REDMOND.GOV; TMJOHNSON@redmond.gov

Subject: FW: Meeting at City Hall - minutes

Date: Tue, 16 Sep 2014 20:04:09 +0000

Eugene:

Thank you for providing a summary of our meeting. We have provided the following as an amendment to your summary to more accurately reflect our discussion

Thank you

Steven

The city has allowed driveway to be widened. The city was unclear on whether the appraisal needs to be performed and amount of money (if any) to be paid to the city, but clear on the fact that this will have to be done later, after the application approved

The letter from WSDOT dated August 2012, indicates that the City is required to follow WSDOT Design Manual 530.10. The City is required to follow this process, and an appraisal will be required once the exact area of the easement has been defined. A precise legal description will be required and this will occur during the civil construction review process.

2. The city says the driveway change from residential to commercial is not needed; residential can be 20 feet wide

3. The city plans on providing the applicant with the easement. Actual approval will be done later, after the application approved

Granting the easement to the applicant will occur once civil constructions drawings have been completed. The City does not transfer easements during the Site plan entitlement process, and recording of this easement will be a condition on the application.

4. The Sound Transit Light Rail phase 3 is not approved and not funded; ~~so the city does not care for such long term perspective~~

The City meets with Sound Transit on a regular basis and is currently discussing Phases 1 and 2 with Sound Transit. Phase 3 is not in the design phase and is currently unfunded. The City continues to design City projects to accommodate the future Phase 3 project; however, the City has no legal authorization to impact or restrict projects on private property based on a perceived future impact.

5. When asked about drainage to WS DOT ditch, the city does not have any data but confirmed this would have to be resolved prior to application approval.

The City has reviewed the proposed drainage report and storm water design and has requested that the applicant coordinate with WSDOT relating to stormwater draining into the WSDOT ditch. This issue will need to be resolved prior to the City issuing a decision on this application.

Then Meg talked about our expectations as to the answers the city will provide. The city is aware of concerns, and provided us with the taste of the "pre-final" comments.

Then we talked about seating capacity question. Apparently the applicant came up with the following solution - they are going to markup the carpet into "virtual" rugs (instead of using individual prayer rugs) and then this will be counted as "fixed seats" and can be used to calculate seating capacity (obviously it will be 150). The city is fine with it.

The applicant has provided the City with a layout where the location of a prayer rug is woven into the carpet. This would provide for a specific number of prayer rugs allowed within the assembly areas. Each prayer rug will be a "fixed seat" since the location of prayer rugs are woven into the carpet.

As a follow up items

1. Thara will send us update traffic study report (as received from the applicant) – (Thara will forward the applicant's response to TENW's comments on the traffic study)
2. The city's storm water specialist will provide more details with the applicant drainage plan and expected level of detail prior to the application approval (The City has reviewed the proposed drainage report and storm water design and has requested that the applicant coordinate with WSDOT relating to stormwater draining into the WSDOT ditch. This issue will need to be resolved prior to the City issuing a decision on this application.)
3. The person responsible for appraisal at the city will comment on re-appraisal for the property and overall process, as it appears to be different from the process used by DOT  
The letter from WSDOT dated August 2012, indicates that the City is required to follow WSDOT Design Manual 530.10. The City is required to follow this process, and an appraisal will be required once the exact area of the easement has been defined. A precise legal description will be required and this will occur during the civil construction review process.

Please let me know if I missed something or captured any items incorrectly.

Thanks much,  
Eugene

**Thara Johnson - Associate Planner,  
City of Redmond,  
Planning & Community Development  
Ph: 425-556-2470  
fax: 425-556-2400  
Em: tmjohnson@redmond.gov**

---

**From:** Eugene Zakhareyev [<mailto:eugenez@outlook.com>]  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 14, 2014 11:18 PM  
**To:** [meg.muran@live.com](mailto:meg.muran@live.com); Steve Fischer; Thara Johnson  
**Cc:** [chrishackmann@gmail.com](mailto:chrishackmann@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Meeting at City Hall - minutes

Thara\Steve,

Thank you for spending time to meet with us and discuss our questions. Below I tried to capture items discussed and follow up actions so we all can be on the same page.

Meeting: 2-3 PM on 9/12/14

Participated: Thara Johnson, Steve Fischer, Meg Muran, Eugene Zakhareyev

First we have discussed answers to my questions

1. The city has allowed driveway to be widened. The city was unclear on whether the appraisal needs to be performed and amount of money (if any) to be paid to the city, but clear on the fact that this will have to be done later, after the application approved
2. The city says the driveway change from residential to commercial is not needed; residential can be 20 feet wide
3. The city plans on providing the applicant with the easement. Actual approval will be done later, after the application approved
4. The Sound Transit Light Rail phase 3 is not approved and not funded; so the city does not care for such long term perspective
5. When asked about drainage to WS DOT ditch, the city does not have any data but confirmed this would have to be resolved prior to application approval.

Then Meg talked about our expectations as to the answers the city will provide. The city is aware of concerns, and provided us with the taste of the "pre-final" comments.

Then we talked about seating capacity question. Apparently the applicant came up with the following solution - they are going to markup the carpet into "virtual" rugs (instead of using individual prayer rugs) and then this will be counted as "fixed seats" and can be used to calculate seating capacity (obviously it will be 150). The city is fine with it.

As a follow up items

1. Thara will send us update traffic study report (as received from the applicant)
2. The city's storm water specialist will provide more details with the applicant drainage plan and expected level of detail prior to the application approval
3. The person responsible for appraisal at the city will comment on re-appraisal for the property and overall process, as it appears to be different from the process used by DOT

Please let me know if I missed something or captured any items incorrectly.

Thanks much,  
Eugene

Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

This message has been scanned for malware by Websense. [www.websense.com](http://www.websense.com)