

---

# PER-SPEK-TIV

Friday, JUNE 8th, 2018

To: City of Redmond Technical Committee  
Subject: Reconsideration Request for a previously submitted Deviation  
Scope: Request to construct a second driveway access to site.  
Parcel: 236640-0200  
Owner: John Devore  
Site Address: 11235 -165<sup>th</sup> Ct. N. E., Redmond, WA 98052  
Authority of Jurisdiction: City of Redmond  
Current Zoning: (R-1)  
Agent: George A. Belmore dba Perspektiv

The following reasons supporting our reconsideration request begin by your reference of Redmond City Code - Appendix 2. Construction Specification and Design Standards for Streets and Access specifically with Section "D Driveways. Driveways, as used in this appendix, shall refer to vehicular entrances to individual lots and the intersection of access corridors with public streets."

In reading this previous sentence and interpreting it by using the definitions referenced with section 21.78 of the RMC.

This entire section is based on driveways as vehicle entrances to individual lots AND the intersection of ACCESS CORRIDORS with PUBLIC STREETS.

First of all this is a tract with private roads and owned and maintained by all the owners and referenced as Tract "A" on the attached Plat Map. The first public road would be at the entrance to this plat which is N. E. 116<sup>th</sup> st. In reviewing the definition of ACCESS, ACCESS CORRIDOR, DRIVEWAY AND STREET.

Access refer to Public Way, Access Corridor is limited to ten lots, and Driveway and Street all are referring to a public road we feel that when we appeal this to the next level using your own definitions that these are referencing the intersection of the subdivision single and only entrance at N.E. 116<sup>th</sup> ST and the individual lots numbered 25, 36, 1 & 2 on NE 116<sup>th</sup> St. Also when you reference the following sections RZC Appendix 2.D.4a, 4b, and 4f to deny our driveway the last sentence in section 4b & 4g state "The separation requirement shall typically not be applied between single-family driveways on local streets." Both section states this yet you use part of a section not the entire section.

In my previous career we always has a motto we lived by "Be a truth seeker not a case maker" how can you cherry pick a section and only use a part of it to help your case, or as your engineer stated I feel its unsafe. There are many including his immediate neighbor who have two driveways.

Do to this being a private road system we don't think any of this deviation even applies.

Please find attached the following copies; The Original Deviation Request (4-pages), The Written Decision (2-pages), The Equestrian Tracts Plat map (2-pages),

Copies of Article VII Definitions (4-pages).

REGARDS

GEORGE A. BELMORE