

Parks Comprehensive Plan Updates
 Planning Commission Issues Matrix July 22, 2020

| Issue | Discussion Notes | Status |
|---|--|---|
| <p>1. Does the Facility Plan consider circumstances surrounding unforeseen structural issues that, for instance, forced the closure of the Sr. Center?</p> <p>(S. Nichols)</p> | <p>Staff Response/Recommendation The Facilities Strategic Management Plan addresses this in multiple ways including adequate staffing to perform preventative maintenance, mid-life investments, use of high quality and sustainable (long-lasting) materials. It also recommends an internal service fund to help address maintenance issues and criteria to rank and prioritize projects.</p> <p>7/8 – Commissioners were satisfied with the staff response.</p> | <p>Opened 4/8/20 Closed 7/8/20</p> |
| <p>2. Does the FP have benchmarks for city facilities in terms of maintenance? Related question – Does the FP plan address life cycle analysis for city facilities?</p> <p>(Nichols and Varadharajan)</p> | <p>Staff Response/Recommendation Maintenance is addressed in Chapter 4 of the plan provides staffing recommendations, level of service agreements, maintenance strategy and a standard protocol and schedule for maintenance tasks.</p> <p>The plan does address life cycle analysis which ranges from 30-55 years depending on building type, with pools at the shorter end (30-years) and most facilities expected to last 55 years. A well-built facility may be renovated at the end of life to provide additional service.</p> <p>7/8 No further questions regarding staff response.</p> | <p>Opened 4/8/20 Closed 7/8/20</p> |
| <p>3. Does the Facilities Strategic Plan address building materials for long term sustainability? How does Redmond compare to neighboring cities?</p> <p>(Rajpathak)</p> | <p>Staff Response/Recommendation Yes, one of the guiding principles of the plan is creating “sustainable and efficient” buildings. This is defined as, “optimizing resources through strategic investment decisions in durable and sustainable facilities and efficient building management.” It also includes looking at the life cycle of the building and choosing potentially more expensive materials if that results in longer life or reduced need for maintenance.</p> <p>The FSMP did evaluate peer organizations and found Redmond’s facilities team employees fewer maintenance technicians and</p> | <p>Opened 4/8/20 Closed 7/8/2020</p> |

Parks Comprehensive Plan Updates

Planning Commission Issues Matrix July 22, 2020

| Issue | Discussion Notes | Status |
|---|--|--|
| | <p>management personnel that peer operations and maintain more square footage.</p> <p>No further questions to staff response.</p> | |
| <p>4. Is defensible placement of trees addressed? (Shefrin)</p> | <p><u>Staff Response/Recommendation</u> The Tree Canopy Strategic Plan did not get into specific location considerations. The plan does reference the “right tree in the right place” that requests private landowners and developers research the location and tree characteristics to ensure that utilities, structures, sight triangles and safety are addressed when choosing the right tree.</p> <p>No further questions to staff response.</p> | <p>Opened 4/8/20 Closed 7/8/2020</p> |
| <p>5. Are there more current numbers on Development impacts than the 2013 numbers shared by Mr. Hinman. (Captain)</p> | <p><u>Staff Response/Recommendation</u> The Tree Canopy Strategic Plan assessed current canopy and canopy change over time using aerial photography and LIDAR. The effort did not involve reviewing past developments and tree retention loss. This question is more relevant to the upcoming Tree Code review and the memo the Planning Commission received on 5/27/2020 from Cathy Beam</p> | <p>Opened 7/8/2020</p> |
| <p>6. How many actual acres of tree canopy are we looking to conserve, preserve, and re-plant over 30 years? (Varadharajan)</p> | <p>In the creation of the Tree Canopy Strategic Plan (TCSP), the 40% canopy coverage was the chosen metric. With the current city acreage at of approximately 10, 600 acres, the goal would be to have 4,240 acres of canopy. Given the unknown nature of rate of loss/planting in the future, a percentage goal better ensures the community benefits desired. Page 24 of the TCSP highlights a range from 200-500 acres that were the best estimates of future acres to be planted to reach the goal. Over time the estimates would improve as more data became available.</p> | <p>Opened 7/8/2020</p> |
| <p>7. Commissioner Aparna had questions regarding the tree memo provided on 5/27/2020.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● If dead/dying trees in existing sites don't require permits and replacements, how will we maintain and encourage current | <p><u>Staff Response/Recommendation</u> The Tree Canopy Strategic Plan assessed current canopy and canopy change over time using aerial photography and LIDAR. The effort did not involve reviewing past developments and tree retention loss.</p> | <p>Opened 7/8/2020</p> |

Parks Comprehensive Plan Updates
Planning Commission Issues Matrix July 22, 2020

| Issue | Discussion Notes | Status |
|---|---|--------|
| <p>tree cover? Can this be revisited and reviewed?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do we have data for the number of trees removed for new construction vs. existing development? • How do we enforce/ inspect that replacement trees have been planted after permits have been issued for existing development? Right now this seems to be the weak link as we are not following up to see that residents are in fact doing what they are supposed to do after permits have been received? • How do we enforce/ inspect new construction replanting? Is there a way to understand what types of trees have been planted in large new developments? • If our tree removal permit fees are lower than our neighbors, and dead, dying or hazardous trees don't require a permit, can we consider increasing these fees to encourage residents to keep their trees? <p>(Varadharajan)</p> | <p>These questions are more relevant to the current Tree Code Update and have been referred to Cathy Beam, Project Manager of the Tree Code Update project.</p> | |