

PROJ: _____ DATE: _____

TREE: ______

PAYMENT METHOD: TYPE:

ACCEPTED BY: _____

Office Use Only

Tree Removal Application



Name of Property Owner(s): _____ Site Address: Tax Parcel Number: _____

Property Square Footage: _____

Location of trees to be removed:
Front
Side
Rear

Submittal Requirements

- 1. Complete Application Form
- 2. Site Sketch [see * on bottom of next page for new single-family construction]
- 3. Arborist tree assessment for each hazardous tree [use Redmond Tree Health Assessment Form]. Site photos may be submitted in lieu of Assessment Form if photos show evidence of dead, diseased, or dying tree(s). Photos can be attached to this application.
- 4. Completed Exception Request Form for healthy Landmark tree removal or exceeding maximum # of trees allowed for removal.
- 5. No fee for single-family zoning; \$125.69 fee for commercial, multi-family, or industrial.

Applicant Information

Applicant Name:	
Company Name:	
Mailing Address:	
City:	
State:	_ Zip:
Phone:	
E-mail	

Select Billing Contact:
APPLICANT
OWNER

Tri	ee Information							
	Tree Species	Tree Diameter (4.5 feet above grade)	Dead, diseased, or dying	Total Hazard Rating	Protected Tree or within a Critical Area	Proposed Replacement		
Ex.	Douglas Fir	18"	Dead	9	No	Cedar		
1.								
2.								
3.								
4.								
5.								
6.								
Ru	Ruinding Owner or Alithorized Agent							

By my signature, under penalty of perjury, I certify that I am the property owner or authorized to file this application on the property owner's behalf.

Print Name: _____ Date: _____

Signature:

Certified Arborist Documentation

I, ________have evaluated the trees listed as dead, diseased, dying, or has a significant structural defect in the table under "Tree Information" and determined that the trees are structurally unsound and pose a hazard to property. My analysis supporting this finding can be found in the attached Tree Hazard Assessment Form(s).

Certified Arborist Signature

Arborist Certification # and Expiration Date

Phone Number

Email Address

SITE SKETCH



*New Single –Family Construction: For tree removal associated with the construction of a <u>new single-family</u> <u>home or an addition</u> to an existing single family home, please include a scaled site plan on a separate sheet in lieu of a Site Sketch (above). Please use the site plan you submitted with your building permit and show the location of trees to be removed as part of the construction.

Development Services Center, 15670 NE 85th St, Redmond, WA 98052 | 425 556 2494

TREE REMOVAL EXCEPTION REQUEST FORM

Per the RZC 21.72.090, a written request for an exception is required for the removal of healthy landmark trees or to remove more trees than the maximum allowed per year (365 days).

I, _____ am requesting the removal of _____ healthy landmark tree(s) and/or _____ trees

(name of property owner) (# of trees)

above the maximum allowed per year as described on the application form attached to this Exception form. The tree(s) is/are located on my property at _______. I feel that an exception consistent

(property address)

(# of trees)

with the criteria under RZC 21.72.090 is necessary for the reasons described below:

1. *Special Circumstances related to the size, shape, location or surrounding of the property.

(Give examples for each tree such as the tree is lifting/damaging the house foundation)

- 2. *Reasonable Use of Property is jeopardized by the strict compliance with the provisions of the code. (Give example for each tree such as the tree interferes with the house foundation or location of utilities).
- 3. Proposed vegetation removal, replacement, and any mitigation measures are consistent with the purpose and intent of the code. (*Give examples for each trees such as tree replacement proposed to mitigate for tree(s) removed*).

4. The proposed request will not be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to other property. (*explain why*)

Signature of Property Owner

Date

* Answer question 1 or 2, as applicable



Cityof Redmond

Tree Health Assessment

Tree Health Assessment Form must be completed by a certified arborist. One evaluation form per tree.

Site/Address:			_ MAP/LOCATI	ON:			Date:	
Arborist Name:		Arborist	Certification #	#:	Da ⁻	te of Last Ins	PECTION:	
I. Tree Chara	CTERISTICS							
Tree # Speci	ES:							
DBH:	# of Trunks:		Height:		S	Spread:	_	
Form: Gener	ally Symmetric	□ Minor Asymmet	ry 🗆 Maj	or Asymmetry	y 🗆 Stump	Sprout E	⊐ Stag-heade	d
CROWN CLASS:	Dominant	Co-Dominant	🗆 Interme	diate 🗆	Suppressed			
Live Crown Ratio: Pruning History:	% Age CL Crow Crow Cable	ASS: DYoung D n cleaned D n Reduced D ed/braced D] Semi-Mature] Excessively T] Flush Cuts] Multiple Prur	e □ hinned □ □ ning Events	Mature [Topped [Pollarded [Approxim	□ Over-Matı □ Crown Rai □ None nate Dates:_	ure/Senescen ⁻ ised	t
Special Value	□ Specimen □ Shade	□ Heritage/Historio □ Indigenous	c □ Wild □ Prot	llife ected by Go	Unusual Covernment Age	⊐ Street Tre∈ ncy	e 🗆 Screen	
II. Tree Health	ł							
Foliage Color: Foliage Density: Leaf Size: Annual Shoot Gro Woundwood Deve Vigor Class: Growth Obstructi Major Pests/Disea	Owth: 'Lopment: Ons: .ses:	 Normal Normal Normal Excellent Excellent Excellent Stakes Guard 	□ Chla □ Spa □ Sma □ Ave □ Ave □ Ave □ Wire □ Curl	protic rse III rage rage rage ss/ties p/Pavement	 Necrot EPICORMIC TWIG DIEB/ Poor Poor Fair Signs Other: 	tic cs?	□ N □ N one oor ables	
III. Site Cond	ITIONS							
Site Character:	□ Residence □ Natural	🗆 Comme 🗆 Woodla	ercial and/Forest	□ Industria □ Open Sp	I 🗆 Park bace			
Landscape Type:	Parkway Lawn	□ Raised □ Shrub B	Bed order	□ Contain □ Windbre	er □Moun eak	nd		
Irrigation: Recent Site Disturb	□None ANCE?YN	🗆 Inadeq	uate	🗆 Adequa	te 🛛 Trunk	wetted 🗆 🛙	Excessive	
□ Construction % Dripline paved: % Dripline with fill % Dripline Grade	□ Soil Disturbar □ 0% . SOIL: □ 0% LOWERED: □ 0%	nce □ Grade □ 10-25% [□ 10-25% [□ 10-25% [Change □ 26-50% □ 26-50% □ 26-50%	□ Line clea □ 51-75% □ 51-75% □ 51-75%	aring	Elearing 6 Pavemen % %	IT LIFTED? 🗆 Y	ΠN

Development Services Center, 15670 NE 85th St, Redmond, WA 98052 | 425 556 2494

III. Site Condi	tions, Continued						
Soil Problems: C Calkaline Clay] Drainage □ Shall e □ Acidic □ Expansive	ow Compacted Small Volume Slope	□ Droughty □ Disease Center □ □ Aspect	□ Saline History of Fail			
Obstructions:	LightsTraffic	SignageOverland Lines	Underground UtilitiesAdjacent Vegetation	□ Line-of-Sight □			
Exposure to Wind:	Single TreeWindward, Canopy	Below Canopy y Edge	Above CanopyArea prone to windthrow	□ Recently Exposed w			
Prevailing Wind Di	RECTION:	Occurrence of Snow/Ice S		Seldom 🗆 Regularly			
IV. TREE STRUC	TURE						
ROOTS: Suspected Root Rot: Y N ID: Suspected Roots: Severe Moderate Low Undermined: Severe Moderate Low Root Pruned: Distance from trunk Root Area Affected: % Buttress Wounded: Y N When: Restricted Root Area: Severe Moderate Low							
LEAN: Degree Decay in Plane of I	s from vertical □ Natu EAN: □ Y □ N Roo	ural 🛛 Unn TS BROKEN: 🗆 Y 🗆 N S	atural	rected Soil Heaving: □Y □N			

CROWN:

COMPOUNDING FACTORS:

Indicate presence of individual defect's location (root crown, trunk, scaffolds, branches) & rate their severity (S=severe, H=high, M= moderate, L= low)

LEAN SEVERITY: Severe Moderate Low

Defect	Defect Area	Defect Severity	Defect	Defect Area	Defect Severity
Poor Taper			Decay		
Bow, Sweep			Cavity		
Co-Dominants, Forks			Conks/Mushroom/Bracket		
Multiple Attachments			Bleeding/Sap Flow		
Included Bark			Loose/Cracked Bark		
Excessive End Weight			Nesting Hole/Bee Hive		
Cracks/Splits			Deadwood/Stubs		
Hangers			Borers/termite/ants		
Girdling			Cankers/Galls/Burl		
Wounds/Seam			Previous Failure		

V. RISK RATING

Size of Defective Part		□ 1 Point	□ 2 Points	□ 3 Points
1 Point	Parts less than 4 inches in diameter			
2 Points	Parts from 4 to 20 inches in diameter			
3 Points	Parts greater than 20 inches in diamete	er		

DESCRIPTION & COMMENTS:

OTHER RISK FACTORS

0 Points

1 POINT

2 Points

This category can be used if professional judgment suggests the need to increase the risk rating. It can also be used if the tree is likely to fail before the next scheduled risk inspection.

DESCRIPTION & SEVERITY:___

Tree part most likely to fail:						
Inspection period:	annual	biannua	l	other		
Probability of Failure		□ 1 Point	2 Points	3 POINTS	4	Points

Point	Risk	Description	Part Description
1	Low	Some minor	Minor branch/crown dieback
		derects	Minor defects or wounds
2	Moderate	Several moderate defects present	 Indicators of advanced decay are found on 25-40% of circumference of any stem, branch, or root collar. Shell thickness is >1 and <2 inches of sound wood for each 6 inches of stem diameter and stem has opening < 30% of stem circumference. Stem has a single crack and decay Roots within the area defined by the Critical Root Radius are less than or equal to 40% damaged, decayed, severed, or dead. Branch union has included bark. Canker or canker plus decay affect 25-40% of tree's circumference Branch has a sharp bend or twist. Large horizontal branch with several vertical branches on it.
3	High	Multiple or significant defects present	 Indicators of advanced decay are found on more than 40% of the circumference of any stem, branch or root collar. Stem has advanced decay and the shell thickness is either less than 1 inch of sound wood for each 6 inches of stem diameter, or stem has opening greater than 30% of the stem circumference and shell thickness is less than 2 inches of sound wood for each 6 inches of stem diameter. Stem is split in two by a crack or branch has a crack. Stem segment has multiple cracks and decay. Leaning tree with recent evidence of root lifting, soil movement or soil mounding. Roots within the area defined by the Critical Root Radius are more than 40% damaged, decayed, severed, or dead. Weak union is also cracked, cankered, or decayed. Large epicormic branch on decaying stem. Canker or canker plus decay affect more than 40% of tree's circumference. Tree with excessive lean (more than 40%). Leaning tree has a crack in stem or canker or decay on lower stem. Leaning tree has a horizontal crack on the upper side of the lean and/or buckling bacrk and wood on lower side. Any lodged branch. Any dead tree, tree top, or branch.
4	Severe	Multiple AND s	ignificant defects present listed under "High" risk; visual obstruction of traffic signs/ lights or intersections

DESCRIPTION & COMMENTS: ____

TOTAL RISK RATING (SIZE OF PART + OTHER RISK FACTORS + FAILURE POTENTIAL): RISK RATING : D Low (0-1 points) D Moderate (2-3 Points) D High (4-6 points) Severe (7-9 points)

VI. Risk Abatement			
PRUNE: Remove Defective part Reduce end weight Crown reduce Restructure	□ Crown clean □ Shape	🗆 Thin	🗆 Raise canopy
CABLE/BRACE: INSPECT FURTHER:	🗆 Root crown	🗆 Decay	□ Aerial □ Monitor
REMOVE TREE? I Y IN REPLACE? Y N OTHER:		_EFFECT ON ADJA	cent Trees:
Date:			

V. RISK RATING, CONTINUED