Cultural Resources Management Plan

September 25, 2019

PURPOSE

Continue Public Hearing

Continue discussion and resolve questions and issues
COMPONENTS

Redmond Cultural Resources Management Plan

- Comprehensive Plan
  - History
  - Policies

- Zoning Code
  - Regulations
  - Appendix

- Context and Guidance
  - CRMP Context
  - Ethnography
  - Procedures and Guides

PUBLIC HEARING
CONFIRMING CRMP MAPS TO MOA

COMMISSIONER ISSUES MATRIX

1. Cultural Resources Management Map Tool

DAHP is supposed to provide the map tool but could you please share the map as it stands?

How do residents know what parcels lie in high or low probability zones?

(Varadharajan)
2. Project Review Procedures

Are all projects expected to go through scrutiny under CRMP just as they are evaluated for environmental impact?

(Varadharajan, Captain, East, Rajpathak)

3. What is considered “ground disturbing activities”? What occurs when a permit is not necessary? How does this relate to tree removals?

(Rajpathak)
## COMMISSIONER ISSUES MATRIX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DISCUSSED 9/11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>What is the probability of cultural resources being present in a typical subdivision? (Captain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>What mechanisms are available to offset the cost of archaeological work? (East, Rajpathak)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### COMMISSIONER ISSUES MATRIX

**NEW**

If an archeological survey finds artifacts on a site, and they are to be left undisturbed, what happens to the development?

Would the plans be redrawn to avoid the artifacts, is it an easement or can there be on-surface development like some softscape/hardscape?

(Varadharajan)

---

**NEW**

What would the City do if the survey finds a significant area of the proposed site has artifacts?

Will it not issue permits at all?

(Varadharajan)
### COMMISSIONER ISSUES MATRIX

**NEW**

Up to what depth are the artifacts to be expected?

And if found, up to what depth would the City allow the land above to be disturbed?

(Varadharajan)

### TESTIMONY


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhibit G (page number)</th>
<th>Staff Recommended Refinements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Include citation for Ground Disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Add definition for High Probability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Clarify definition for Historic Period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Include citation for precontact or prehistoric in definition for Historic Property/Resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Provide annotations in Appendix 9 table of contents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>Add narrative to introduce purpose of bulleted list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148 – 149</td>
<td>Incorporate new Appendix 9.G - acronyms and abbreviations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NEXT STEPS

**Sept. 11**
- Public Hearing
- Identify and discussion issues

**Sept. 25**
- Resolve items from issues matrix
- Recommendation to City Council

**Oct. 2**
- Planning Commission Report Approval

Planning Commission’s Questions and Comments

Kimberly Dietz, Senior Planner
Planning and Community Development
You can reach me at kdietz@redmond.gov or x2415

Tom Hardy, Senior Planner
Planning and Public Works
You can reach me at twhardy@redmond.gov or x2762

Staff Contact: Kimberly Dietz, 425-556-2415, kdietz@redmond.gov