MEMO TO: Mayor Marchione and City Council

FROM: Rob Odle, Director, Planning & Community Development, 425-556-2417

Colleen Kelly, Assistant Director, Community Planning, 425-556-2423

Lori Peckol, Policy Planning Manager, 425-556-2411

Pete Sullivan, Senior Planner, 425-556-2406

DATE: April 18, 2013

SUBJECT: STUDY SESSION: COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND ZONING

CODE AMENDMENTS REGARDING SUSTAINABILITY, ARCHITECTURAL EXCELLENCE, AND ENVIRONMENTAL

REVIEW (L120157; L120156; L120155; SEPA 2013 00274)

PURPOSE

The purpose of the April 23, 2013, study session is to discuss and resolve discussion issues identified by Council members regarding proposed amendments to the Redmond Comprehensive Plan and Redmond Zoning Code concerning sustainability, architectural excellence, and environmental review.

BACKGROUND

These proposed Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Code amendments are now under review by City Council. In its report dated March 20, 2013, the Planning Commission provided recommendations for the three-part proposal as follows:

Proposal	Planning Commission Recommendation
Add new ninth goal to Comprehensive Plan	Deny
Revise policy language in Comprehensive Plan to encourage innovative and green buildings	Deny
Zoning Code Amendment to raise State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) exemption thresholds	No action at this time

Council members identified discussion issues regarding these proposed amendments during a staff report at its April 16, 2013, meeting, available online at

www.redmond.gov/Government/CityCouncil/meetinginformation

Mayor and Council

RE: STUDY SESSION: COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND ZONING CODE AMENDMENTS REGARDING SUSTAINABILITY, ARCHITECTURAL EXCELLENCE AND ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW April 18, 2013

Page 2

ENCLOSURES

Attachment A

An issues matrix is attached for your assistance in preparing for the study session. Staff has provided initial responses to Council's comments based on information available at this time.

Exhibit 1: Existing Comprehensive Plan Policies and Zoning Code Regulations related to sustainability and green development

SCHEDULE

Based on direction received at the April 16, 2013, study session, staff will prepare documents for the Council's final action on this matter. Staff anticipates final action on May 21, 2013.

Please contact Pete Sullivan at psullivan@redmond.gov if any questions are not captured correctly, or if further clarification is needed.

Issue / Councilmember	Discussion Notes	Status
1. What is the timeline for staff following up with a	Staff Comment/Recommendation:	Opened
City-initiated proposed Zoning Code Amendment to address	Staff anticipates Planning Commission will begin reviewing this item in late May 2013. City Council review is anticipated in summer 2013.	4/17/13
State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) exemption thresholds?	Public Comment:	
Allen	Council Comments:	
2. How is this proposal	Staff Comment/Recommendation:	Opened
inconsistent with Comprehensive Plan policies and existing	This response addresses the first two parts of the applicant's proposal.	4/17/13
principles for sustainability?	The <u>first</u> part of the applicant's proposal – adding a new, ninth goal to the Comprehensive Plan – has three inconsistent aspects, as described in the Planning Commission's Report:	
Allen	1) Sustainability is already explicitly defined in the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan is already guided by explicit sustainability principles expressing the community's intent for all aspects of sustainability. The applicant asserts that the Comprehensive Plan does not demonstrate Redmond's commitment to sustainability, and that the Plan's Goals should be the place to reflect this. The Planning Commission noted that the City's commitment to sustainability is already evident in the plan. For example, the opening of the Plan's Introduction and Sustainability Principles section describes how sustainability became an organizing principle for the document as a whole, and that sustainability is intentionally woven throughout narrative and policies. It also describes the community engagement process — highlighted by the Livable Redmond event in November 2010 — that resulted in the Plan's six Sustainability Principles. As noted in the Plan, the principles are intended to act as a lens to guide future City decisions.	
	2) Proposal could undesirably limit the scope of sustainability. The applicant's proposed new goal draws a connection between the built environment and sustainability. While addressing the environmental dimensions of sustainability is important, the	

Issue / Councilmember	Discussion Notes	Status
	Comprehensive Plan defines sustainability more broadly, as being a balance of the environment, the economy, and social equity. The concern is that the new goal as proposed could limit the view of sustainability and conflict with other portions of Plan.	
	3) Proposal speaks to implementation, while other goals speak to intent. The proposed new goal is phrased more like a policy than a goal, because it speaks to <u>how</u> the City should achieve its goals. In contrast, the other eight goals are higher-level, describing <u>what</u> the City should look like.	
	The <u>second</u> part of the applicant's proposal, regarding Comprehensive Plan policies for building design, also has three inconsistent aspects, as described in the Planning Commission's Report:	
	1) Proposal is not clear The proposal is not readily clear in its objectives, and uses certain terms like "dynamic" that are not well understood.	
	2) Proposal duplicates existing green building policies and code requirements Explicit support and incentives for green building and low impact development techniques already exist in Comprehensive Plan policies and Zoning Code regulations as noted in Exhibit 1. If the applicant is seeking additional flexibility in development standards for green buildings, such a request would be more appropriately made via a Zoning Code amendment, because it would directly address any hurdles to green development that a developer may be experiencing.	
	3) Proposal establishes an unreasonable level of green development expectations The Commission aims to balance the aspirations of innovative developers with those in the development community who practice more traditional techniques, and otherwise meet code requirements.	
	Public Comment:	
	Council Comments:	

Issue / Councilmember	Discussion Notes			
3. Some aspects of the applicant's	Staff Comment/Recommendation:	Opened		
proposal appear to be based on assumed, future code changes. What are the implications of the applicant's proposal if those	There are two known linkages between the applicant's proposal and future policy or Zoning Code amendments.	4/17/13		
assumed changes do occur? Myers	First, the applicant is aware that a new, higher SEPA exemption threshold became available to the City in January 2013 in response to the Round 1 rule-making process by the state Department of Ecology. The applicant thereby encourages the City to respond by raising SEPA thresholds via Zoning Code amendment. As noted in item #1 above, staff will follow up in a timely manner with a separate, City-initiated proposed Zoning Code amendment process that will allow for thorough discussion of the implications of changing the exemption thresholds. The applicant did not propose a specific exemption threshold for the City to consider, therefore taking no action at this time with regard to SEPA exemptions does not negatively impact future Planning Commission or City Council discussion.			
	Second, the applicant indicated that revising policies related to building design could positively inform a future update to <i>Redmond's Design Standards</i> . An update to the design standards was recommended by the Code Rewrite Commission as part of recently-completed review and update of Redmond's Zoning Code. However, the applicant's proposed policies related to building design have other drawbacks, as noted in item #2 above. Moreover, staff believes that the Comprehensive Plan's sustainability principles already provide a foundation for addressing the the tone of Redmond's Design Standards. How that tone is specifically incorporated into the Zoning Code could be holistically addressed when the design standards update is formally intiated.			
	Public Comment: Council Comments:			

Issue / Councilmember	Discussion Notes	Status
4. How is a sustainability with respect to the built environment currently expressed in the Comprehensive Plan?	Staff Comment/Recommendation: The connection between the built environment and sustainability are reflected in the Plan's goals, policies, and sustainability principles as follows:	Opened 4/17/13
Flynn	Comprehensive Plan Goals The following goals address the built environment and sustainability: To emphasize choices and equitable access in housing, transportation, stores and services. To support vibrant concentrations of retail, office, service, residential and recreational activity in Downtown and Overlake. To cultivate a well-connected community, working together and with others in the region to implement a common vision for Redmond's sustainable future. Sustainability Principles Having housing choices that are accessible to residents with various incomes, ages and abilities; Valuing environmental quality and supporting choices that minimize impacts to the environment; Policies Please see Exhibit 1, which lists existing Comprehensive Plan policies and Zoning Code regulations related to the built environment and sustainability. Public Comment Council Comments:	

Issue / Councilmember	Discussion	Notes					Status
5. Do other jurisdictions identify sustainability within their comprehensive plan goals? How does Redmond's approach toward addressing sustainability in its plan compare with others in	City Title of Comprehensive Plan* Where does sustainability appear in the document?					Opened 4/17/13	
	 ✓ = Item is included in comprehensive plan 区 = Item not included in comprehensive plan 		Goals	Vision statement	Special section or heading	Stand- alone element	1,17,13
the region, and to what extent does our approach satisfy regional requirements?	Seattle	Toward a Sustainable Seattle (2005)	X	\checkmark	\checkmark	X	
Allen	Redmond	Redmond 2030 (2011)	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	X	
	Bellevue	Bellevue Comprehensive Plan (2004)	X	\checkmark	X	X	
	Kirkland	Kirkland Comprehensive Plan (1995)	\checkmark	X	X	X	
	Woodinville	Woodinville Comprehensive Plan (2009)	X	X	X	X	
	Bainbridge Island	Bainbridge Island Comprehensive Plan (2004)	X	\checkmark	\checkmark	X	
	Bellingham	Bellingham Comprehensive Plan (2006)	\checkmark	\checkmark	X	X	
	Spokane	Spokane Comprehensive Plan (2012)	X	\checkmark	X	X	
	* Dates shown under the title of each plan refer to original year of publication or last major major periodic update.						
	their Compreh	ve provides a snapshot of how various ensive Plans. Puget Sound Regional C sustainability and address climate char	ouncil (P	SRC) encou	rages all me	ember cities	

Issue / Councilmember	Discussion Notes	Status
	cities are now in the process of updating their comprehensive plans as Redmond did in 2011, and one could expect that over time, sustainability will be more explicitly emphasized by other cities as they carry out their periodic comprehensive plan updates.	
	With respect to how sustainability is expressed in a comprehensive plan, cities have some discretion in choosing how to incorporate it. As part of the 2010-11 periodic update to the Comprehensive Plan, Redmond chose to weave sustainability across all chapters and policies, and decribed that process in the Plan's <i>Introduction</i> .	
	Prior to the agency's final certification of <i>Redmond 2030</i> , PSRC staff reviewed a working version of the plan and provided written comments and suggestions. The following is an excerpt from their January 2011 review:	
	SECTION I: NOTEWORTHY WORK IN DRAFT ELEMENTS	
	The draft elements submitted to PSRC show great progress towards implementing VISION 2040. Particularly noteworthy work identified during our initial review of the draft elements received includes the:	
	as they carry out their periodic comprehensive plan updates. With respect to how sustainability is expressed in a comprehensive plan, cities have some discretion in choosing how to incorporate it. As part of the 2010-11 periodic update to the Comprehensive Plan, Redmond chose to weave sustainability across all chapters and policies, and decribed that process in the Plan's Introduction. Prior to the agency's final certification of Redmond 2030, PSRC staff reviewed a working version of the plan and provided written comments and suggestions. The following is an excerpt from their January 2011 review: SECTION I: NOTEWORTHY WORK IN DRAFT ELEMENTS The draft elements submitted to PSRC show great progress towards implementing VISION 2040. Particularly noteworthy work identified during our initial review of the draft elements received	
	stewardship which acknowledges —a systems perspective and recognizes that people, nature	

Existing Comprehensive Plan Policies and Zoning Code Regulations related to sustainability and green development.

Land Use Element – Comprehensive Plan

- LU-4 Encourage sustainable development of both public and private lands in Redmond through the use of techniques, such as green building and green infrastructure.
- LU-5 Provide an appropriate level of flexibility through development regulations to promote efficient use of buildable land. Balance this flexibility with other community goals and the need for predictability in decision making. Achieve this through measures such as clustering that preserve open space and administrative variances for minor variations.

Natural Environment Element – Comprehensive Plan

- NE-12 Encourage environmentally friendly construction practices, such as Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED), King County Built Green, and low impact development.
- NE-13 Encourage projects which utilize alternative technologies, engineering, and plans which emphasize low-impact development strategies through incentives and flexibility in meeting regulatory requirements.
- NE-24 Encourage use of creative and appropriate site design and housing types to balance environmental protection and achievable density. Encourage clustering and density transfers for both commercial and residential development to help retain significant natural features and critical areas as open space.

Utilities Element – Comprehensive Plan

UT-73 Promote, support, and increase the use of clean alternative energy by:

- Advocating for the development of renewable energy sources;
- Facilitating development and use of innovative technologies, such as alternative fuels and on-site renewable energy; and
- Providing incentives for development that incorporates renewable energy.

Redmond Zoning Code

- Green Building and Green Infrastructure Incentive Program (RZC 21.67)
- Overlake Incentive Program (RZC 21.12.170)

City Council Study Session, April 24, 2013