

**REDMOND CITY COUNCIL
PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE/
POSSIBLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL MEETING**

Council Conference Room
15670 NE 85th Street, Redmond, Washington

Tuesday, May 22, 2012
4:30 p.m.

Council

David Carson, Chair
Kim Allen (*not in attendance*)
Hank Margeson
Hank Myers (*attending Councilmember*)
John Stilin (*attending Councilmember*)
Pat Vache (*attending Councilmember*)

Staff

Ron Gibson, Police Chief
Nina Rivkin, Chief Policy Advisor

Meeting Summary

Convened: 4:30 p.m.

Adjourned 5:25 p.m.

Committee Chair David Carson called the meeting to order.

2011 Crime Statistics and 2012 Crime Trends

Police Chief Ron Gibson presented information on 2011 Crime Statistics and 2012 Crime Trends; addressing the following:

2011 Crime Statistics:

- Statewide Crime;
- Crime facts at a Glance;
- Crime in Washington State; Property/Violent; 2010/2011;
- Total Part One Offenses (2002-2011);
- Overall Crime Rate (2002-2011);
- Violent Crime (offenses in this section include murder, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault; numbers derived from monthly Summary Uniform Crime Reporting and National Incident-Based Reporting System submissions by Washington State law enforcement agencies);
- Total Violent Crime (2002-2011);
- Violent Crime Rate (2002-2011);
- Property Crime (offenses in this section include burglary, larceny, motor vehicle theft, and arson; numbers derived from monthly Summary Uniform Crime Reporting and National

Incident-Based Reporting System submissions by Washington State law enforcement agencies);

- Total Property Crime (2002-2011);
- Property Crime Rate (2002-2011);
- Statewide (and Countywide) Comparison by Agency; and
- in general, and by comparison, Redmond has a low crime rate; and reported crimes have decreased over the past two years.

2012 Crime Trends:

- motor vehicle theft and residential burglary have increased; and
- commercial burglary and car prowls have decreased.

Overall, Redmond crime rates are well below the neighboring cities and state averages.

General discussion ensued regarding the 'Pro-Act' unit and initiatives; neighborhood watches; dedicated staff: one crime prevention officer; and two neighborhood resource officers; partnerships/training of local businesses and City employees for crime watch; and increased usage of locked mailboxes. Chief Gibson advised that State reporting will be done through a different system next year.

Animal Services Update

Ms. Nina Rivkin, Chief Policy Advisor, provided an update on Animal Services:

Background:

- King County provides animal care, control, and licensing services for the City of Redmond pursuant to an interlocal agreement (ILA) that expires at the end of this year; and
- the Council was briefed at the February 14, 2012, study session on services provided by the County, the development of an Agreement in Principle for the next three-year ILA with the County for animal services, costs to the City under the proposed model, and next steps;

Updates on the proposed King County Animal Services Model/Key Changes:

- Duration: January 2013-December 2015; 3 years, with possible 2-year extension;
- Cost Allocation: 80 percent use; 20 percent population;
- County Support/Districts: three districts – Northeast/East/South; officers hosted in district; one-day weekend service; service protocols to be developed;
- Re-opener: limited; renegotiate cost/revenue allocation if levy proposed;
- Termination: revenue sustainability is a priority; donations, entrepreneurial activities, potential levy; and
- New Revenue Allocation (excludes any levy revenue): 50 percent – offset system costs; 50 percent offset county mitigation funds; county sponsored costs and lastly 20 percent 'use' component of cost model;

Cities included in the proposed County model for animal services, by district:

- District 200: Carnation, Duvall, Kenmore, Kirkland, Lake Forrest Park, Redmond, Shoreline, Woodinville;

- District 220: Beaux Arts, Bellevue, Clyde Hill, Issaquah, Mercer Island, Newcastle, North Bend, Snoqualmie, Yarrow Point; and
- District 500: Black Diamond, Covington, Enumclaw, Kent, Maple Valley, SeaTac, Tukwila;

Costs to the City of Redmond for Animal Control Services provided by King County:

- estimated net costs for the City for 2013: \$8,071, assuming revenue generated from license sales is the same in 2013 as in 2011;
- estimated City costs: \$12,478; estimated license revenues: \$116,407; and
- use and population will be fixed at 2011 levels and will not be adjusted from year-to-year (except for population annexations adjustments over 2500);

Sub-Regional Model:

- Redmond participating in the development of a sub-regional model to provide animal services for five cities: Redmond, Bellevue, Kirkland, Mercer Island, and Newcastle;
- sub-regional model would consist of the following components:
 - animal control – provided by Bellevue;
 - shelter and adoption services – provided by the Seattle Human Society (SHS); and
 - licensing – to be provided by a private vendor (example: PetData);
- estimated net costs under sub-regional model: 2013: \$9,972; 2014: \$19,393; 2015: \$29,000 (allocated according to use); and one-time start-up fee of \$67,000 would be incurred in 2012 to enable services to begin January 1, 2013;

Timeline and Next Steps:

- Tuesday, May 29, 2012 - Bellevue City Council (BCC) study session on animal services; Redmond staff will update Council regarding direction provided by BCC;
- Kirkland City Council – initially opted out of the County model; and, after modifications to the ILA, have provided notice of intent to participate in County model;
- May 17, 2012 – King County transmitted the new ILA for animal services to cities; the ILA must be adopted by cities by June 30, 2012, to be included in the County model; and
- staff will provide an update to Council in June regarding this matter.

General discussion ensued throughout the presentation regarding: effect of the City of Auburn exiting the County model; a review of the south Cities numbers (revenues/costs); licensing of animals in Redmond, and ways to increase that number/renewals; additional services provided by the County within the scope of the ILA; and costs, revenues, and effect of levy passage on the County model.