

**REDMOND CITY COUNCIL
STUDY SESSION**

June 14, 2011

Mayor

John Marchione (*Not in Attendance*)

Members of the Council

Richard Cole, Council President
Pat Vache, Council Vice-president
Kim Allen
David Carson
Hank Margeson
Hank Myers
John Stilin

Staff

Rob Odle, Planning and Community Development
Director
Lori Peckol, Planning Policy Manager
Kim Dietz, Senior Planner
Joel Pfundt, Principal Planner, PW/Transportation
Peter Dane, Transportation Supplemental Planner I
Judd Black, Development Review Manager
Cathy Beam, Principal Planner
Michelle M. McGehee, CMC, City Clerk

STUDY SESSION SUMMARY

Convened: 8:45 p.m.

Adjourned: 10:17 p.m.

Council President Richard Cole opened the session and overviewed the agenda for the evening.

2012-2017 Transportation Improvement Program

Councilmember Vache hosted this segment of the study session. He noted the goal today was to: (1) gain a basic understanding of where the program is going; (2) identify likes/dislikes; and (3) to hold a public hearing on the program in July.

Mr. Joel Pfundt, Transportation Principal Planner, and Mr. Peter Dane, Transportation Supplemental Planner I, provided a report to the Mayor and Members of the Council. The report addressed:

- plans and rolls;
- key project evaluation criteria;
- the process to-date;
- possible BTTI Committee review; they currently use the program plan to rank their projects;
- substantially completed projects;
- projects to remove from the program list;
- projects added to the program list;
- proposed Transportation Improvement Program number of projects;
- with respect to B10 – PSE Trail; Councilmember Stilin requested the project be substituted with the Sammamish River Trail project;
- with respect to B10 – Councilmember Cole stated he would like staff to energize the City of Bellevue to address gradual deterioration;
- document funding issues;

- upcoming important dates – July 19 for public hearing;
- associating the date of the public hearing with the date of the Overlake build-out graphic; and
- with respect to C48/49 – Councilmember Carson inquired as to the cost of the project.

Carbon Footprinting

Mr. Judd Black, Development Review Manager, and Ms. Cathy Beam, Principal Planner, provided a report to the Mayor and Members of the Council regarding greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets for the City of Redmond. The report addressed:

- government operations data for Redmond 2008 – 2010; eCO2 emissions;
- the need to have a realistic number reflective of the City’s whole operations; measuring consumables;
- 2008/09 City energy consumption comparison;
- employing the use of scientific calculations;
- City fuel use comparisons;
- including a footnote to show comparisons of changes from year to year;
- community data – 2008 – 2010 eCO2 emissions;
- bringing waste reduction down;
- residential, commercial, and industrial community comparison data;
- community electricity comparisons;
- national, state, and regional data showing reduction targets;
- basing targets on available technology;
- the need to concentrate on near-term goals; and
- developing an action plan in order to get more people involved and invested.

Mr. Odle, Planning and Community Development Director, noted that the strategies for the targets will be brought back to the Council for consideration in the fall.

(The study session recessed at 9:27 p.m. and reconvened at 9:35 p.m.)

Amendment to Neighborhood Commercial Policies and Regulations

Councilmember Vache hosted this segment of the study session. He noted there is one outstanding issue remaining to discuss – where can Neighborhood Commercial be situated within the communities.

Ms. Kim Dietz, Senior Planner, and Ms. Lori Peckol, Planning Policy Manager, provided a report to the Mayor and Members of the Council addressing:

- prohibited zones;
- overlay zones;
- C1 alternative – close proximity to concentrations;
- C2 alternative – strips away concentrations;
- C3 alternative – reflects proximity to allow Neighborhood Commercial to locate using a market approach and once established, collector arterials or a higher street classification;
- differences between the alternatives;

- staff's recommendation for the C1 alternative;
- performing an evaluation at the five-year interval period; and
- applications for a Neighborhood Commercial business would still go through the neighborhood planning process and would then go to the Council for consideration.

Councilmember Allen noted her preference to conduct a review in two years, as opposed to five years.

Ms. Dietz shared the remaining schedule for this topic with the Council, noting it would be scheduled for final consideration on September 6, 2011.

Council Talk Time

Councilmember Cole encouraged any discussion related to the Bike Park to be sent through the Ombudsman.