

Issue/Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
<p>1. How is parking (especially for transit users) anticipated to be accommodated in Overlake Village? (Flynn)</p>	<p><u>Staff Comment/Recommendation</u> <u>11/17:</u> This issue was raised in the context of Policy TR-30, which addresses the extension of light rail and supporting facilities to Redmond.</p> <p>The City completed the Overlake Parking Management Plan in 2009 as part of “Overlake Phase II” in part to answer this and related questions. Summary conclusions are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To achieve land use objectives, new parking facilities will need to be structured; • New development should share parking facilities; • Overlake Village is not the preferred location for a regional transit parking facility; Southeast Redmond is the preferred location for such a facility; • City and property-owner oversight of public and private parking facilities (respectively) in Overlake Village will be critical after light rail opens to ensure that parking is managed first for residents and customers. <p>Other conclusions and short-term action items are included in the Parking Management Plan. It can be found online at http://www.redmond.gov/intheworks/overlake/reldocsphase2.asp</p> <p><u>Public Comment</u></p> <p><u>PC Comments</u> <u>11/17:</u> Commissioner Miller noted that there would be a structured parking facility at the Overlake Transit Center station when light rail opens there.</p>	<p>Opened 11/10 Closed 11/17</p>
<p>2. How does technical analysis done as part of the 152nd Ave corridor study support the revised project description in the TFP? (Miller)</p>	<p><u>Staff Comment/Recommendation</u> <u>12/1:</u> Staff is finalizing the 152nd Ave NE Corridor Study during the month of December. After the corridor study is complete, staff will return to the Planning Commission with study results and recommended cross sections for 152nd Ave NE, 151st Ave NE, and other Overlake Village streets.</p> <p><u>11/17:</u> Staff will provide additional information about the 152nd Avenue NE Corridor Study at the meeting on November 17th.</p> <p><u>Public Comment</u></p>	<p>Opened 11/10 Closed 11/17 with future follow-up</p>

	<p><u>PC Comments</u> <u>11/17</u>: Commissioner Miller was interested in the analysis and how it shows a 2- and 3-lane functioning. Commissioners agreed that they would like to review the completed traffic analysis and recommended street cross sections in Overlake Village after the study is complete.</p>	
<p>3. How should the proposal address Mr. Bannecker’s testimony regarding subarea map language? (O’Hara)</p>	<p><u>Staff Comment/Recommendation</u> <u>12/1</u>: Staff recommends taking the language from Section 20, paragraph (2) of the Overlake Village chapter (Exhibit E of the Technical Committee Report) and moving that language onto the map itself. The legend could then be cleaned-up as well, and it would be clear that all future infrastructure locations are conceptual in nature.</p> <p><u>Public Comment</u> <u>11/17</u>: Randy Bannecker, representing Sears, testified that he would like language regarding future infrastructure needs to consistently refer to the fact that such infrastructure would be coordinated with future development.</p> <p><u>PC Comments</u> <u>11/17</u>: The Commission agreed with staff’s approach and will review the proposal at its December 1, 2010 meeting.</p>	<p>Opened 11/17 Closed 11/17</p>