

Amendment to the Redmond Zoning Code for Marijuana and Marijuana Related Uses

February 26, 2014

Issue / Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue status
<p>1. Should a “4th Alternative” be proposed for Council’s consideration? (Murray/Miller)</p>	<p><u>Staff Comment/Recommendation:</u> If the Planning Commission decides to recommend that the City consider a land use/zoning code amendment for marijuana retail, it will be reflected in the PC report to Council. If the Council supports consideration of this amendment, it can be proposed as part of the 2014-15 Comprehensive Plan Amendment Docket.</p> <p><u>Public Comment:</u></p> <p><u>PC Comments:</u></p> <p>Some Commissioners expressed an interest in recommending to the City Council that the City consider a land use/zoning code amendment to create space for marijuana retail within the City.</p>	<p><u>Opened</u> 2/12/14</p> <p><u>Closed</u></p>
<p>2. Is the response to “question B2a of the Technical Committee Report biased? (Chandorkar)</p>	<p><u>Staff Comment/Recommendation:</u> Staff has noted the concern and will avoid this reference in the Planning Commission Report.</p> <p><u>Public Comment:</u></p> <p><u>PC Comments:</u> There was some concern that staff’s response to the “consistency with the goals, visions, and framework policy of the Comprehensive Plan” in the Technical Report may be subjectively commenting on “healthy lifestyle” as it relates to marijuana uses.</p>	<p><u>Opened</u> 2/12/14</p> <p><u>Closed</u></p>

Issue / Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue status
<p>3. What is the rationale for allowing “processing” in Manufacturing Parks (MP), Business Parks (BP), Industrial (I), Gateway Design District (GDD), and Overlake (OV) and (OBAT) per the proposed Comprehensive Land Use Chart?</p> <p>(Sanders)</p>	<p><u>Staff Comment/Recommendation:</u> Per the Redmond Zoning Code, the definition of “Manufacturing and Wholesale Trade” is: “An establishment that engages in the transformation of raw materials into finished products, in the sale or distribution of those products to persons, firms, or corporations for resale, in the storage of materials or products in a warehouse or similar structure, or in the assembly or fabrication of goods. A manufacturing or wholesale trade establishment does not engage in the retail sale of products to the end consumer.”</p> <p>A marijuana processor license allows a licensee to process, package, and label usable marijuana and marijuana-infused products for sale at wholesale to a marijuana retailer. As such, a processor/processing business meets the Zoning Code’s definition of “Manufacturing and Wholesale Trade” and thus is an allowed use in the zones cited above: MP, BP, I, GDD, OV and OBAT.</p> <p><u>Public Comment:</u></p> <p><u>PC Comments:</u></p>	<p><u>Opened</u> 2/12/14</p> <p><u>Closed</u></p>
<p>4. How was the proposed land use chart for marijuana related uses throughout the City, produced?</p> <p>(Sanders)</p>	<p><u>Staff Comment/Recommendation:</u> The Comprehensive Land Use Chart, RZC 21.04 “General Provisions” is a compilation of the permitted land uses throughout the City.</p> <p>First, staff determined where “general sales or service”; “manufacturing and wholesale trade” and “agriculture” are currently allowed uses.</p> <p>Next, with the exception of residential zones (WA State regulation), if a zone currently allows retail sales, then “marijuana retail sales” was added to the chart under the “general sales or service” category and designated by <u>P</u>. The same procedure was used to determine in which</p>	<p><u>Opened</u> 2/12/14</p> <p><u>Closed</u></p>

Issue / Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue status
	<p>zones marijuana processing and marijuana production would be an allowed use.</p> <p><u>Public Comment:</u></p> <p><u>PC Comments:</u></p>	
<p>5. Can the Planning Commission postpone making a recommendation to Council until the State Legislature votes on House Bill 2322?</p> <p>(Chandorkar)</p>	<p><u>Staff Comment/Recommendation:</u> Planning Commission could opt to table the discussion and postpone making a recommendation to Council until the State legislature votes.</p> <p>The State is expected to vote on the HB sometime in April, which would give the Planning Commission time to make its recommendations to City Council before the current interim regulations expire on September 2, 2014.</p> <p>Staff recommends not postponing making a recommendation to City Council because the legal context for land use regulations related to marijuana processing, production and retail could continue to change. The City Council has the option to refer a topic back to Planning Commission for further discussion and recommendation as needed.</p> <p><u>Public Comments:</u></p> <p><u>PC Comments:</u></p>	<p><u>Opened</u> 2/12/14</p> <p><u>Closed</u></p>
<p>6. Should Planning Commission</p>	<p><u>Staff Comment/Recommendation:</u> Requiring that marijuana related businesses be separated by 1,000 ft. will prevent</p>	<p><u>Opened</u> 2/12/14</p>

Issue / Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue status
<p>recommend adding a 1,000 ft. separation (to the I-502 buffers) between marijuana related businesses?</p> <p>(Sanders/all)</p>	<p>concentrations of marijuana related uses in any one area in the City. This would help to avoid concentrations of these uses in specific locations within the City.</p> <p><u>Public Comments:</u></p> <p><u>PC Comments:</u></p>	<p><u>Closed</u></p>