<u>ISSUES AND QUESTIONS MATRIX – IDYLWOOD (VIEWPOINT) NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN UPDATE</u> 2007 – 2010 Policy and Regulation Updates

Iss	sue	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
	Policy and Text		
	What is the basis for this trigger for open space and landscaping? What is the potential for developments that would trigger this requirement? ~ McCarthy, Julinsey WP-20 Require new residential developments of more than 5 dwelling units to find opportunities for: • Greater preservation of open space in permanent easements and tracts; • Enhancements and restoration to open space; or • Neighborhood projects to establish and sustain "green" space such as community-partnership pea patches and rain gardens.	 Staff Recommendation/Reasoning March 10: The Idylwood neighborhood is an established neighborhood that may experience development in the form of infill or redevelopment of existing homes. To incorporate open and "green" space, the trigger is proposed at 5 or more dwellings to have a moderate effect whereas the differentiation of short and long subdivisions at 10 or more dwellings would have minimal effect. The following neighborhoods include landscaping requirements as follows: Education Hill – 30 dwellings or more North Redmond – 30 dwellings or more Willows / Rose Hill – 30 dwellings or more Staff plans to provide information on March 17th regarding the potential for developments of five or more dwelling units. March 24: Staff provided additional information regarding implementation of the five-unit requirement over the long-term. 	Opened on 3/10/10, Closed on 3/24/10
		Staff identified one vacant parcel that would incur the requirement at the time of development. In addition, staff highlighted clusters of homes predating 1965 where redevelopment could incur the requirement as well.	
		Public Comments	
		PC Discussion	
		March 10 : Define whether the requirement applies to 5 dwelling	

Issue		Discussion Notes	Issue Status
		or more than 5 dwellings. What is the basis for triggering this requirement at 5 dwellings?	
		Commissioner McCarthy requested information regarding the potential for development that would implement this requirement if the trigger remained at five dwelling units.	
		March 24: The Commission continued their deliberation of this item. Commissioners weighed the implementation of open space requirement at five units compared to the 30 unit requirements that are in place throughout the remainder of the City. After expressing their preference to avoid replication of this policy in other portions of the City, the Commission closed this item and maintained the recommended policy language.	
increase	techniques that help to preserving and establishing open space ~ Julinsey	Staff Recommendation/Reasoning March 10: Staff suggests the following:	Opened on 3/10/10, Closed on
N-VP-21		Consider using the following techniques for increased preservation and establishment of natural, open spaces as part of significant capital improvement projects throughout the Idylwood neighborhood:	3/24/10
	spaces as part of significant capital improvement projects throughout the Idylwood neighborhood:	 Permanent preservation of land within, adjacent to, or near the project location as a wildlife corridor, area of significant trees, neighborhood entryway, or other natural feature; and 	
	• Greater preservation	 Enhancements and restoration to existing open space. 	
	of open space in permanent	Public Comments	
	easements and tracts; and • Enhancements and	PC Discussion	
	restoration to open space.	March 10 : Commissioner Julinsey requested clarifying N-VP-21 regarding the consideration of techniques versus the bulleted list that repeats the policy.	
		March 24 : Commissioner McCarthy continued the discussion of this issue. Describing his understand of the policy's intent, he	

Issue	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
	requested omitting "tract" from the first bullet and then closed the item pending the modification.	
 3. What is the program and implementation strategy regarding community-based stewardship for natural areas ~ McCarthy N-VP-12 Promote collaboration with Redmond Parks Department for parks located in the Idylwood neighborhood. Include the following: Park enhancements including amenities such as canoe or kayak rental, Opportunities for community-based maintenance including removing invasive plant species and planting and maintaining native vegetation, and Stewardship of the natural environment, neighborhood parks, and open spaces. 	March 10: Redmond's Parks department offers the "Green Redmond" program in partnership with the Cascade Land Conservancy. In its third year, the program helps establish citizen stewards for individual parks and publicly owned open spaces such as the Viewpoint Open Space. The program includes training for stewards who then lead work parties to remove specific invasive species and plant suitable native species. Additional program information is located at: http://www.cascadeland.org/stewardship/green-cities/green-redmond-partnership (or www.greenredmond.org). Staff recommends maintaining the policy, continuing use of the existing program to carry out the second bullet, and remaining flexible to incorporate additional citywide program or changes to existing programs. April 14: Staff recommends the following amendment to the second bullet point of policy N-VP-12:	Opened on 3/10/10, Closed pending amendment to policy on 4/14/10, Closed on 4/21/10
	Opportunities for partnership between the City and neighborhood to establish and sustain natural areas including removing invasive plant species and planting and maintaining native vegetation, and Public Comments PC Discussion	
	March 10: Commissioner McCarthy requested additional clarity regarding the overarching program and resolution tools with respect to the second bullet of policy N-VP-12. His concern included potential conflict that could stem from personal interpretation of invasive versus native plant species and potential	

Issue	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
	for City liability. April 14: Commissioner McCarthy closed this item pending staff's amendment to N-VP-12 that identifies a partnership between the City and neighborhood regarding the second bullet. Commissioner Hinman suggested identifying a program by name but also noted that programs may change over time. April 21: The Commission supported staff's recommendation for policy N-VP-12 and closed this item.	
trigger tl	March 10: The Parks Department would determine initial feasibility for trail improvement if the property is publicly owned. If the informal trail is privately owned, staff would engage in conversation with the respective property owners and determine the owner's interest in allowing access. Review and ranking as part of the capital improvement program occurs for projects that require financial investment. Staff concurs with Commissioner Biethan regarding the addition of "voluntary" as follows: N-VP-9 Encourage creation of formal non-motorized connections between parks using sidewalks, trails, and paths. Consider a voluntary program that helps transition informal paths to City trails on behalf of interested property owners. Staff plans to provide additional information regarding property transactions and fees to the Commission following the March 24 th public hearing, as time allows, or on April 14 th . March 24: The first consideration of transitioning would be granting of an easement. This alleviates some of the owners' responsibility for maintaining the trail and offers more protection regarding liability. The second consideration would be donation. In both cases, the City would weigh the trail viability pertaining to	Opened on 3/10/10, Closed pending additional information per March 24 th discussion, Closed on 4/21/10.

Issue	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
	safety, usability, and budgeting priorities. Based on the value of the trail, the process may occur by letter or by Council ordinance.	
	While the program that allows transitioning is in place, staff suggests prioritizing the inventory of land in advance of additional program or process amendments.	
	Public Comments	
	PC Discussion	
	March 10: Commissioner Miller requested additional information regarding the process through which informal trails would transition to public, formal trails. His concerns included the cost to the City and issues that could arise regarding neighbor relations.	
	Commissioner Biethan suggested the addition of "voluntary" to the policy to further emphasize that the property owner would always decide whether to allow the transition.	
	March 17: Commissioners continued discussion of this item. Commissioners supported the addition of "voluntary" and requested additional information regarding the implementation. Specifically, their interest includes the process through which property transfer and/or public use would occur and payment of recording fees.	
	March 24: Commissioner McCarthy and Biethan requested additional information regarding the process through which the transition from informal path to formal, maintained trail would occur. In turn, they asked staff to continue researching this issue and report to the Commission at the following study session.	
	April 21 : The Commission closed this item, supporting staff's report on current options for citizens to consider and voluntarily implement trail transitioning.	

Iss	ue	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
	What is the implementation strategy for the policy regarding senior living ~ Julinsey /P-17 Support senior living, aging in place, and designs for accessibility, consistent with underlying zoning and citywide regulations.	March 10: Existing RCDG code, 20C.30.85 Retirement Residences, includes the regulations that guide development of retirement residences to help meet the housing needs of an aging population. Retirement residences are allowed in all residential zones through the subdivision or binding site plan process. A special use permit is required. This section of code includes a variety of requirements that address impacts such as traffic and building design to fit the scale of the development with the	Opened on 3/10/10, Closed on 4/21/10
		proposed location. (ord. 2115) April 21: Staff provided a report on the existing senior housing allowance for residential zones. Per the Commission's request, staff described existing conditions of Emerald Heights including the typical trip generation of this type of land use. Residents typically drive less and many trips are combined via senior transit services and private transit service. Increased need for service has been typically limited to increased emergency aid car service.	
		Public Comments PC Discussion March 10: How will developers implement the policy describing support for senior housing? What is the current senior housing program? April 21: The Commission closed this item with no additional concerns, following staff's report regarding similar senior housing facilities throughout the City.	
6.	Add a policy regarding advance notice of utility work in the neighborhood. ~	Staff Recommendation/Reasoning March 10: To provide time for additional consideration, staff	Opened on 3/10/10, Discussed on

Issue	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
McCarthy	plans to address this item on March 24 th . March 24: Staff plans to continue discussion with utility providers and to provide a report to the Commission at their April 21 st study session. To date, staff shared the Commission's concerns and learned of current requirements/communication strategies used by Puget Sound Energy. In addition, staff plans to contact cable, internet, and telephone providers.	4/14/10, closed on 4/21/10
	April 14 : Staff presented a memo and recommendation to the Commission that included a response by PSE to a series of questions. As well, staff described the evolution of neighborhood concepts in the context of neighborhood planning along with the goals of the planning process.	
	The City has a 10-year franchise agreement with Puget Sound Energy that was established roughly 5 years ago. The City cannot impose requirements that are not part of the existing franchise agreement. In addition, PSE is regulated by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Any provisions that are governed by state law cannot be superseded by local governments. As a result, staff is checking with the City Attorney and Puget Sound Energy regarding the Commission's preferred direction of either recommending a policy or citing within the Planning Commission's Report an interest in requiring two-week advance notice of planned utility outage by Puget Sound Energy and will provide a response at or prior to the April 21 meeting.	
	April 21: Staff provided a letter to the Commission from the City's attorney describing the regulatory authority of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission's (WUTC) pertaining to all non-municipal utilities in WA state. The City Attorney advised that the City cannot enact additional policy or regulation governing notification procedures.	

Issue	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
	Public Comments	
	PC Discussion	
	March 10 : Commissioner McCarthy requested the addition of a policy that requires 14-day advanced notice to residents regarding utility work that takes place in the neighborhood.	
	Commissioner Hinman added that the neighborhood includes many home-based businesses and expressed his support for advanced notice of planned power outages.	
	April 14: The Commission continued their deliberation of this item in reference to Commissioner McCarthy's request for policy and regulation. Commissioner McCarthy described his interest in providing a level of certainty for home-based business and employees who may work from home. Ultimately, a majority of the Commission asked that staff work with Commissioner McCarthy to draft a policy or other language that emphasizes their interest on behalf of the home-business and/or employee.	
	April 21: The Commission discussed a letter from the City's attorney regarding the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission's (WUTC) regulatory authority of rates, facilities, and practices of all non-municipal utilities within the state. In consideration of supporting small home-based business owners and home-based employees, the Commission requested that their report to the City Council include notation of their deliberation and intent. Specifically, the Commission suggested additional conversation with utilities during update to franchise agreements to encourage increased notice to property owners regarding planned outages.	

Iss	ue	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
7.	Should the neighborhood name change from Viewpoint to Idylwood? ~ McCarthy	 Staff Recommendation/Reasoning March 10: At the October 15, 2009 neighborhood open house, many residents shared their support regarding the potential name change. Some residents thought that the name Idylwood aligns more with Idylwood Park and therefore helps create awareness of the neighborhood by way of the park's popularity. Staff also previewed the proposed name change with the Planning Commission on December 16, 2009 and with the City Council's Planning and Public Works Committee. 	Opened on 3/10/10, Closed on 4/14/10
		Public Comments	
		PC Discussion	
		March 10: Commissioner McCarthy requested additional discussion regarding the proposed neighborhood name change from Viewpoint to Idylwood.	
		April 14 : Commissioner McCarthy closed this item noting that is was vetted through neighborhood outreach efforts.	

Questions and Concerns

8. Describe how entryways and beautification projects would be implemented. Where might a botanical, native garden or additional small parks be located in the neighborhood? ~

McCarthy, Hinman,

N-VP-2

Julinsey

Promote the creation of a botanical, native garden within the neighborhood for demonstration and educational purposes. Encourage programs such as private garden sharing to foster sustainable land management practices.

N-VP-3

Promote incentives, such as neighborhood matching grants, that assist Idylwood residents with planting native plants and other site appropriate vegetation adjacent to the right-of-way

Staff Recommendation/Reasoning

March 10: Entryways and other beautification projects could be implemented in several ways such as:

- Capital improvement projects that are within or adjacent to the right-of-way
- Public-private partnerships that identify opportunities and use grant funding to establish projects on existing public land
- Donation or dedication of private land where a property owner wishes to help establish and maintain a natural feature

Staff worked with a consultant to develop a template for entryway treatments. The base includes conifers, seasonally colorful trees, seasonally colorful shrubs, habitat/berry species, ornamental grasses, and naturescaping elements such as rockery, nurselogs, and water. Staff provided a print of the sample treatments at the Commission's March 10th meeting.

March 17: Staff provided information regarding existing parks and open space to help the Commissioners envision potential establishment of neighborhood entryways. In response to Commissioner McCarthy's question regarding potential location for a botanical or native garden, staff suggests using the park master planning process to work with neighbors in determining the best and most suitable location. At this time, Idylwood Beach Park and Viewpoint Neighborhood Park could include this type of amenity.

Policy N-VP-3 includes the existing neighborhood matching grant program as an example of incentives and remains flexible to include other programs or changes to existing programs during the longer term. It also calls attention to investments adjacent to the right-of-way that could be implemented in consideration of future capital improvements to ensure

Opened on 3/10/10, Closed pending modifications to N-VP-2, Closed on 4/21/10

N-VP-13

locations. coordinated with future street and infrastructure improvements. Promote, on public land where opportunities exist, the creation of additional small parks in the neighborhood. Consider improvements to publicly owned properties, installation of benches along public right-of-way, and other treatments that allow residents to gather or pause.

in appropriate

long-term retention and maintenance of the landscaping.

Per the Commission's recommendations, staff proposes that N-VP-2 read as follows:

N-VP-2

Promote the creation of a botanical, native garden on public land within the neighborhood for demonstration and educational purposes. Encourage programs such as salmon-safe and rain garden workshops and private garden sharing to foster sustainable land management practices.

Public Comments

PC Discussion

March 10: How will entryways be implemented? What defines an entryway? Commissioners also mentioned potential limited application due to size requirements of entryway treatments versus available public land.

Commissioner McCarthy asked for clarification regarding potential locations for a botanical, native garden within the neighborhood (policy N-VP-2) and also for additional small parks (policy N-VP-13). Commissioner McCarthy also asked how policy N-VP-3 differs from the current neighborhood matching grant program.

Commissioner Hinman added that entryways, specifically along NE 40th Street, should help establish continuity along the street.

March 17: The Commissioners continued discussion of this item. Commissioner McCarthy noted that terrain may pose challenges to some locations that appear appropriate for entryway treatments when viewed on aerial imagery. He added that other locations throughout the neighborhood could be more suitable in acting as and supporting entryway treatments. Commissioner Hinman and McCarthy requested additional designation of "public land" in policy N-VP-2. Commissioner Biethan shared his support for the policies in their current form and Commissioner McCarthy suggested expansion to N-VP-2 in the context of the entire neighborhood plan.

	April 21 : The Commission supported staff's recommendation for policy N-VP-2 and closed this item.	
9. Describe the relationship of Idylwood neighborhood plan to the NE 40 th Street study ~ Hinman	March 10: The neighborhood plan does not include any proposed amendments regarding NE 40 th Street. Staff coordinates to ensure neighborhood awareness of the study and associated comment opportunities. Public Comments PC Discussion March 10: Describe components of the neighborhood plan that relate to NE 40 th Street. Include discussion of the relationship of the neighborhood plan and the current work and studies taking place along NE 40 th Street between the Idylwood and Overlake neighborhoods. March 17: Commissioner Hinman closed this item on March 17 th .	Opened on 3/10/10, Closed on 3/17/10
10. Describe the process through which neighborhood plans affect the TMP Process ~ Miller	Staff Recommendation/Reasoning March 10: The Transportation Master Plan (TMP) follows a five-year update schedule. Each process includes coordination with the City's Comprehensive Plan to continue the consistency between the two plans. Amendments to the Comprehensive Plan adopted after the current TMP update process will be addressed during the following update. Public Comments PC Discussion March 10: How does the neighborhood planning process relate to the Transportation Master Plan update process? March 17: Commissioner Miller closed this item on March 17 th .	Opened on 3/10/10, Closed on 3/17/10

11. Provide additional information regarding the triggers and warrants included in the transportation section of the proposed policies ~

McCarthy, Hinman, Miller

Staff Recommendation/Reasoning

March 10: Staff referred to current citywide standards in the creation of the policy language and Technical Committee report. In this manner, all areas of City are considered in equal measure using a consistent ranking and order. Policy N-VP-25 emphasizes the investment in mobility improvements at locations that demonstrate a need when measured against similar locations throughout the City, consistent with existing standards.

March 17: Staff recommends maintaining the existing policy language with the exception of the March 17th amendments by Commissioner Miller to change "warranted" to "justified" or "supported" and by Commissioner McCarthy regarding refinement to implementation processes:

• N-VP-25 Work with the Idylwood Neighborhood to implement priority improvements identified in Table 1: Idylwood Neighborhood Highest-Priority Pedestrian Mobility Improvements where justified by site specific conditions. Consider these priority projects in conjunction with the subsequent updates to the Transportation Master Plan, other functional plans, and opportunities allow.

Public Comments

PC Discussion

March 10: Commissioners McCarthy, Hinman, and Miller requested additional information that explains the triggers and warrants in the transportation section of the proposed neighborhood policies:

• N-VP-25 Work with the Idylwood Neighborhood to implement priority improvements identified in Table 1: Idylwood Neighborhood Highest-Priority Pedestrian Mobility Improvements <u>where warranted by site</u> <u>specific conditions</u>. Consider these priority projects in conjunction with the following update to the Transportation Master Plan.

March 17: On March 17th, Commissioner Miller suggested using

Opened on 3/10/10, Closed on 4/14/10

	"justified" or "supported" as an alternative to "warranted". Commissioners McCarthy and Youngblood added that the reference specifically to the TMP process should be modified and/or expanded as several items within the associated table could occur outside of the TMP's scope. Several Commissioners discussed the reference to bicycle etiquette and requested a broader concept that includes coordination with the City of Bellevue regarding facility planning and with area employers regarding educational programs. Commissioner Miller suggested that staff contact the TMA to filter the policy and subsequent programs in consideration of possible funding sources. Ultimately, the Commission suggested refining policy N-VP-25. April 14: Commission McCarthy closed this item based on staff's recommended amendments to N-VP-25.	
12. Provide additional information regarding the long-term vision for West Lake Sammamish Parkway, address mobility for all users, and describe outreach ~ McCarthy, Miller	March 10: The proposed policy regarding West Lake Sammamish Parkway focuses on providing the infrastructure that supports pedestrian and bicyclist's mobility while maintaining that of transit and motorists. The parkway includes many options for incorporating the multimodal design elements. In moving ahead on project design and construction, the City would consider the view of all users along with area residents and employers. Improvements to the parkway would be ranked in terms of priority with other planned transportation improvements, and listed in the City's 6-year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) along with identified funding. March 17: Staff presented conceptual information to the Commission on March 17 th to describe potential and general areas for improvement. The	Opened on 3/10/10, Discussed on 3/17/10 and 4/14/10, Closure pending amended policy, Closed on 4/21/10
	City's current 6-Year CIP, neither lists nor funds improvements to West Lake Sammamish Parkway south of the intersection with Bel-Red Road April 14: Jeff Palmer, Program Manager with the City's Transportation division, joined the Commission's discussion. Mr. Palmer provided	

additional definition to several components of the Transportation Master Plan, W. Lk Sammamish's classification as a minor arterial and multimodal corridor, and the prioritization of planned improvements via the City's TFP and TIP. He described inventoried travel speeds at locations along the parkway including: 39 ½ mph at the current fixed radar segment, 42 mph in the 4800 block, 41 mph in the 2200 block, and 40 mph near 170th Avenue NE. Mr. Palmer reminded the Commission of the lane narrowing that took place as part of the most recent overlay project.

Staff concurs with the Commission regarding amended policy language for N-VP-23 that reflects the intended goal and long-term vision for the parkway.

Staff recommends the following replacement for N-VP-23:

Recognize that West Lake Sammamish Parkway serves two roles: one as direct access to residential dwellings and second as a minor arterial that supplements connections to Redmond's employment and urban centers. Pursue opportunities to:

- Support the completion and build out of West Lake Sammamish Parkway as intended: a minor arterial and multimodal corridor including design elements such as sidewalks, bike lanes, crosswalks, and planted medians and buffers.
- Encourage volumes and travel speeds consistent with the West Lake Sammamish Parkway's designation as a minor arterial and multimodal corridor.
- Incorporate designs as part of future infrastructure improvements in a manner that is sensitive to these two roles.
- Improve West Lake Sammamish Parkway to include a complete street design that establishes and maintains multimodal infrastructure and a pedestrian supportive

environment along the Parkway from its intersection with Bel-Red Road to Redmond's city limits at NE 20th Street.

Public Comments

PC Discussion

March 10: Commissioners McCarthy and Miller requested additional information and clarity regarding proposed policies for West Lake Sammamish Parkway. In particular, Commissioner Miller noted the character and the various ways that people, including residents, relate to the parkway. He requested information that describes the long-term vision to help clearly express the CAC's intent.

Commissioner McCarthy also asked staff to address outreach to area employers.

March 17: Commissioners continued discussion of this issue. Commissioner McCarthy noted policy N-VP-23's second bullet in contradiction to the opening statement. Commissioners Biethan and Miller added their interest in learning more about the current and intended function of the parkway as well as the service it provides to the various users: pedestrians, bicyclists, transit customers, and motorists. The Commissioners requested additional information related to the parkway's classification as a minor arterial and emphasized that the resulting policy reflect the interest of the neighborhood CAC.

April 14: Commissioners continued their deliberation of West Lake Sammamish Parkway's future function, classification, and design. They described their understanding of the parkway as a supplemental connection to Redmond's employment and urban centers along with neighborhood residential interest in design elements that could help to enhance pedestrian and bicycle safety. Commissioners McCarthy, Hinman, O'Hara, Miller, and Gregory considered residents' avoidance of the parkway, perception of speeds, and interest in changing the function. They requested that staff amend N-VP-23 to better reflect the long-term goal and vision for parkway including mention of its designation as a

	minor-arterial and multimodal corridor.	
	The Commission closed this item pending staff's amendments.	
	April 21 : The Commission supported staff's recommendation for policy N-VP-23 and closed this item.	
13. Process for establishing	Staff Recommendation/Reasoning	Opened on
regulations in the context of the ongoing work by the City's Code Rewrite Commission ~ McCarthy	March 10: Staff will propose associated regulations for the existing Community Development Guide to maintain consistency with the neighborhood plan policies. Additional work to ensure consistency with new format and content will occur during the Code Rewrite Commission's code reconciliation phase.	3/10/10, Closed on 4/14/10
	Public Comments	
	PC Discussion	
	March 10: Commissioner McCarthy requested discussion regarding the Idylwood neighborhood plan portion of the Community Development Guide with respect to the ongoing work of the City's Code Rewrite Commission. Specifically, he asked staff to propose regulations that can be adopted with the neighborhood plan to ensure simultaneous implementation of neighborhood plan policies following the City Council's adoption.	
	April 14 : Commissioner McCarthy closed this item based on staff including regulation that upon neighborhood plan adoption, fulfills policy implementation until final adoption of the rewritten Community Development Guide.	
Requests for Additional Info	rmation	
14. SEA-Street ~ Julinsey	Staff Recommendation/Reasoning March 10: Staff will provide a photo survey of NE 180 th Street along with a visual description of the existing Seattle SEA-Street for the	Opened on 3/10/10, Closed on

	Commission's review at their March 10 th meeting.	3/17/10
	Public Comments	
	PC Discussion	
	March 10 : Define a SEA-Street and provide images of both a complete SEA-Street and 180 th Avenue NE existing conditions.	
	March 17: Commissioner Julinsey closed this item.	
15. "Non-motorized" connections ~ Julinsey	Staff Recommendation/Reasoning March 10: The Transportation Master Plan includes the following definitions:	Opened on 3/10/10, Discussed on 3/17/10. Closed on 4/14/10.
	Multi-Use/ Hiking and/or Neighborhood Linkages: small-scale pedestrian connections that link neighborhoods with each other and with longer collector and backbone trails.	
	Non-Motorized Transportation Network Components - Class I - Bicycle Paths: bicycle facilities that are physically separated from motorized traffic.	
	Non-Motorized Transportation Network Components - Class II - Bicycle Lanes: portions of a roadway identified by striping, signing and pavement marking for preferential use by bicyclists.	
	Non-Motorized Transportation Network Components - Class III - Shared Roadways: streets shared by bicycles and motor vehicles that have either: wide curb lanes, paved shoulders low traffic volumes and speeds. (May or may not have Bike Route signs).	
	However, the term "non-motorized" connection allows for flexibility and can accommodate specific design needs based on the individual location and desired connection type.	
	March 24: Staff obtained a copy of SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6207 that describes "golf cart zones". The legislation includes the following authority regarding streets and highways as opposed to non-motorized	

	trails or pathways: "The legislative authority of a city or county may by ordinance or resolution create a golf cart zone , for the purposes of permitting the incidental operation of golf carts, as defined in section 3 of this act, upon a street or highway of this state having a speed limit of twenty-five miles per hour or less." Public Comments PC Discussion March 10: Where is "non-motorized" defined? Does it include to scooters or mobility assistance devices? March 17: The Commissioners continued discussion of this item. Commissioners Youngblood and Miller noted recent conversations and innovations including use of golf carts and enhanced electric cycles that may be addressed by WSDOT. April 14: Commissioner Miller closed this item following his	
	recommendation to continue ongoing discussion with respective agencies to define and implements plans related to enhanced electric cycles.	
16. Traffic reports ~ Julinsey, McCarthy	March 10: Staff provided transportation related statistics and maps for the Commission's review at their March 10 th meeting. April 14: For West Lake Sammamish Parkway, Jeff Palmer described accident data and the general circumstances therein. He noted that the amount is less significant than other areas of the City and that the most frequent circumstances are those that do not include associated engineering solutions. For example, he described how inattentive or drunk driving could not be mitigated though street design. Staff also noted that average weekday, mid-block volumes from a 2008 report total approximately 8,000 to 10,000 vehicular trips. Public Comments	Opened on 3/10/10, Closed on 4/14/10

	PC Discussion	
	March 10 : Provide traffic related reports such as accidents and traffic counts for West Lake Sammamish Parkway and NE 24 th Street.	
	Commissioner McCarthy added his request for information that describes the conditions presented on page 5 of the Technical Committee Report.	
	April 14 : Commissioners Julinsey and McCarthy closed this item following staff's presentation of data.	
17. Housing inventory and types	Staff Recommendation/Reasoning	Opened on 3/10/10, Discussed on 3/24/10, Closed on 4/21/10
~ Biethan, Miller	March 10 : The proposed plan includes policies related to allowing backyard homes as well as supporting senior living and dwelling for multiple generations.	
	March 24 : Staff plans to present additional information to the Commission on April 14 th .	
	April 21: Staff presented a survey of Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) and current neighborhood conditions to the Commission. Based on a report from the current permitting system, 22 ADUs are currently permitted throughout the City. Of them, 8 are in the Viewpoint(Idylwood) neighborhood, 4 in Grass Lawn, 4 in Education Hill, 3 in North Redmond, 2 in Willows/Rose Hill, and 1 in Overlake. Detached ADUs average 839 sq ft and attached average 872 sq ft. The majority occur in R-4 zones	
	Public Comments	
	PC Discussion	
	March 10: Describe any proposed changes to housing types that are currently allowed throughout the neighborhood. Relate future housing to current conditions including the year that current structures were built.	
	March 24: Commissioner Miller requested continued discussion of this item and asked staff to provide additional information regarding the	

	housing inventory on April 14 th .	
	April 21: Commissioners discussed the CAC's interest in ADUs and Backyard Homes and considered the needs due to changing demographics for various housing types. They concurred with the CAC in providing a variety of housing choices and noted that the opportunities for this housing type are minimal. The Commission closed this item following their discussion.	
18. Technical Comm. Report –	Staff Recommendation/Reasoning	Opened on 3/10/10, Discussed on 4/14/10,
proposed parking at Idylwood - pg 7 ~ McCarthy	March 24 : Staff will provide a copy of the Idylwood Beach Park master plan for the Commission's reference on April 14 th .	
	May 12: At the Commission's direction, staff discussed the Idylwood Beach Park Opportunity Plan and the PARCC plan with Carolyn Hope of the Parks Department. Ms. Hope described that because of the age of the Opportunity Plan, a master planning process would take place in advance of the proposed 2016 improvements. This will provide residents an opportunity to discuss parking facilities, park amenities, as well as other park items.	Closed pending staff's report on May 12
	Public Comments	
	PC Discussion	
	March 10 : Provide information regarding a proposed parking facility at Idylwood Beach Park.	
	April 14 : Commissioner McCarthy shared his concern regarding paving as part of expansions to parking facilities. He noted inconsistency with low impact development interests and increases to total impervious surfaces. However, he pointed out that parking expansion could alleviate current concerns related to on-street parking.	
	Commissioner Hinman suggested continued conversation of this issue on April 21 st .	

April 21: Commissioner Gregory suggested that the Idylwood overflow	
parking facility remain gravel/crushed rock and not be paved in the	
future. He also urged that future projects maintain existing vegetation,	
incorporate additional vegetation, and, as necessary, reconfigure the	
parking spaces to allow for additional vehicles. Commissioner Julinsey	
added that signage would help visitors locate and use the overflow lot.	
The Commission noted that the park functions as an attraction regardless	
of parking facilities. Commissioners requested additional consideration	
for policy amendments following discussion with Parks planning staff	
regarding future outreach to residents as part of planned improvements.	